(Jury in, 2:45 p.m.)

just blowing smoke at yous.

THE COURT: Have a seat, please.

All right. Mr. Cantwell?

MR. CANTWELL: Thank you, Judge.

Check, check. Can everybody hear me okay?

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you all so much for being here.

I'm not used to this stuff, and I was really nervous about the jury selection thing, but I think that this went pretty well. I'm reasonably happy with the outcome. I think you all are reasonably smart people, or better, and I think before we're done here you're going to realize that I'm not

The plaintiffs are going to tell you that we're a bunch of mean racists who take some perverse joy in harming people because we believe that, deep down, our political goals will be served by chaos and violence. Now, if any of you have ever had the intellectual curiosity to read *Mein Kampf*, or if there's a conservative who is well enough informed to know the difference between Marxism and National Socialism, you already know that this is Mother Jones-level ideological nonsense.

We're talking about right-wingers here. This is the Unite the Right rally. Mainstream Republicans may wish to distance themselves from us on the subject of race, due in part to meritless lawsuits like the one here.

But make no mistake about it: This was a right-wing event.

Right-wing ideology is characterized by a desire for order, tradition, stability, and rules. The use of force is prohibited in all but defense of person and property and by duly authorized agents of the government to enforce the law.

And if you've ever been involved in a violent, chaotic situation before, then you understand that there is no paradox in saying that these rules, the coercive powers of the state, are in place to preserve our freedom. I call this the ordered liberty which is characteristic of Western civilization. The plaintiffs call it white supremacy. And the difference between me and your favorite respectable Republican is that I will defend that way of life by any name. I won't run away from it just because some lunatic calls it racist and threatens to hit me, which is exactly what happened at the Unite the Right rally in August of 2017.

How does one go about uniting the right, if you bother to think about that for a minute? When we hear from the plaintiffs' experts, they're going to tell us that the very name of the event, "Unite the Right," was about white supremacy. But if any of you have ever casted a vote for a Republican, you know that that's complete nonsense. Do you unite the right through violent crime? No. And anyone who says otherwise is insulting your intelligence.

What is the principal divide on the ideological right? From our view, it's race.

1

3

5

7

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There are people, some of them quite well-meaning, who continue to take literally the demonstrably false idea that all men are created equal. Now, don't get me wrong. That's a fine legal concept, that we treat all of our citizens fairly \parallel and according to the same set of rules. But if all men were literally created equal, the world would be an exceedingly dull \parallel place. Sure, there would be no Down's syndrome, there would be no retardation, there would be no birth defects or racial differences, if all men were literally created equal. But then we would lose that which the ideological left claims is our greatest strength: Diversity. We wouldn't have it. wouldn't be any such thing. If we were all born the same, then \parallel we truly would be the interchangeable machine parts they try to make of us with their collectivist programs. But the reason these ideas always result in mass murder is because they are contrary to the nature of the human organism.

We don't want to hurt people because they are different from us. A man is not equal to himself from one day to the next. "I am not equal to my co-defendants," you'll keep hearing all of us say. I am not looking for uniformity; just order, stability, and a government which organizes policy in tune with the nature of our existence. Give me this and I will live in peace with my neighbors, as I expect that all of you

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 10/28/2021 likewise desire.

But if the people who want to insult me over my political views call themselves a racial interest group, that doesn't give license for them to break the law. It doesn't make their racial group better than mine. And it doesn't entitle them to relief from the laws at work in this case.

Black Lives Matter is an -- openly Marxist and openly violent. They can't hide behind race in this court.

I don't know my co-defendants well enough to speak for them, but that's a fine summary of how I see things. And since what I'm talking about in terms of biological reality cannot be changed through ideology, it is my idea that, to unite the right, Republicans need to stop fearing the accusation of racism.

A very wise man once told me that to solve the problems in the black community, it's going to, quote, "require that white people grow some backbone and courage and stop fearing being called a racist." His name was Walter E. Williams, and you'll hear that name again before we're done. That's how you unite the right, not with violent crime.

Violent crime unites the left. That's why leftists say things like ACAB. "All cops are bastards" is what that acronym stands for. At the one-year anniversary of the Unite the Right rally, on August 12, 2018, their celebration over the victory -- their victory over truth, leftists marched with a

sign that said: "Last year they came with torches. This year they come with badges." They were comparing us to the police. And before we arrived in Charlottesville in August of 2017, they chanted: "Cops and Klan go hand in hand," equating the KKK with their local police department, because to them, we're just like the cops. And on that point I hope you agree with them. And there's going to be a lot of evidence to that effect in this case.

The left-wing lexicon is a fascinating subject. When Blee and Simi, their experts on the white supremacist movement, use the terms "doublespeak" and "strategies of deniability," remember Plaintiff Wispelwey's favorite catchphrase:

"Diversity of tactics."

"Diversity of tactics." That's a key phrase I really want all of you to remember throughout the course of this trial.

You see, there are peaceful tactics and then there are violent tactics, and then there are diverse tactics, which, like all diversity, is the left's greatest strength. The diversity of tactics makes this lawsuit possible, because right-wing rallies only turn violent when leftists attack the right-wing ralliers. But courts don't help confessed rioters. You need somebody like Reverend Wispelwey to play the sympathetic victim. He says, "Oh, I'm the peaceful religious figure illegally blocking a public roadway with my friends in

the revolutionary Communist Party. If you hit me, you're mean."

And then, as Reverend Wispelwey told Slate magazine,
"battalions of Antifa" show up with, quote, "community defense
tools." That's what Plaintiff Wispelwey told Slate magazine in
an interview after these events.

So see how this works? "Diversity of tactics" is a left-wing euphemism for political violence which is given cover by ostensibly nonviolent co-conspirators.

If you watched the news in the end of 2020, you heard about another one of those words: "Mostly peaceful protests."

The only time that you heard plaintiffs' counsel mention Antifa in the course of their entire opening statement was to deny any attachment to it. And before we're done here, you're going to know that that was a lie, and that should really upset you.

News flash: That's not how peaceful protest works.

If you tolerate the violence of your demonstration, it's a riot. That's how it works.

This famous image came out last year of Jim Acosta in front of a burning building with the lower third of the scene said "Fiery but mostly peaceful protest." A lot of you saw that. No. That's called arson. And it's illegal.

Another left-wing euphemism --

THE COURT: Mr. Cantwell, I hate to interrupt you,

```
Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 10/28/2021
  \parallelbut an opening statement is basically to tell the jury about
   your defense, and not to make, you know, a speech about --
 3
             MR. CANTWELL: The "diversity of tactics" line is a
   phrase from Plaintiff Wispelwey, which I expect him to testify
 5
   to --
 6
             THE COURT: You can tell them what you're going to
 7
   tell them about your beliefs --
 8
             MR. CANTWELL: I expect --
 9
             THE COURT: -- and what you can prove about someone
10
   else --
11
             MR. CANTWELL: I expect Plaintiff Wispelwey --
12
             THE COURT: -- other plaintiffs. All right?
13
             MR. CANTWELL: That's all right.
14
             THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
15
             MR. CANTWELL: When Mr. Wispelwey takes the stand, I
16
   intend to ask him about a term called "community defense."
17
   Now, that sounds nice, doesn't it, folks? Almost borders on
   Republican sloganeering. Defense, community? Where do me and
18
19
   Ted Cruz sign up? But remember what Reverend Wispelwey told
20
   Slate: Antifa had community defense tools, as in weapons. And
21
   community defense is something very different from
22
   self-defense, otherwise they would just call it self-defense.
23
   Community defense is the use of physical violence in advance of
24
   what advocates say are undesirable political outcomes. If
25
   these people speak, they will gain power. They will use it in
```

ways I disagree with. So I will defend my community by using violence to prevent them from speaking. That's what community defense is.

And you're going to hear Mr. Simi and/or Ms. Blee testify that we use double-speak. That's hypocrisy.

Let me move on to something that's probably already painfully obvious. I'm not a lawyer. But contrary to the popular cliche, neither do I have a fool for a client. There's no such thing as a public defender in civil court, and I'm poor. So I'm the best attorney that I can afford. And I didn't even stay at a Holiday Inn Express last night. As a matter of fact, I'm going to let you in on a little secret. I don't have to tell you this because it's actually not really relevant to the details of this case. But last night I stayed at the Central Virginia Regional Jail. And when this case is over, I'm going back to a federal prison, win, lose or draw. I'm a fairly recently convicted felon because my mouth gets me into trouble a lot. And last year I was convicted of threatening a Nazi on the Internet because he wouldn't leave me alone and he threatened the woman I wanted to marry.

I didn't have to tell you that, but there's like 100 different ways you might find out, and I don't want to step on a landmine before we're done here and you think I was trying to snow you.

I'm sorry. Sometimes it's going to look like I don't

know what's going on, because I don't. One of the ways I could have stepped on that landmine is by coming out about some of the difficulties I've had trouble preparing for this trial. So I'm going to ask you to bear with me while I find my way through this thing. And since I don't figure any of you are in the habit of being jurors, I think that we're kind of in this thing together. And if I'm lucky, you're going to feel that way too by the time we're done.

Here's one thing I do know: I did not conspire to commit racially motivated violence in August of 2017 or any other time, and I didn't conspire to do any of this other crap, either. There's a few guys who were convicted of conspiracy to riot. And you'll notice before we're done here that those guys are conspicuously absent from the courtroom. I think only one of them have been deposed, and in the testimony you're going to see from him, the plaintiffs don't even ask the guy about our relationship because they knew before they asked him that there wasn't one.

I didn't invite those guys. I don't think I ever met those guys. And let me tell you: That makes it hard to conspire.

There's a bunch of other people who should be in prison for this thing. We'll usually refer to them as Antifa, Communists, Reds, that kind of thing. You may recall, as I said, the only time they mentioned this was to deny any

involvement with them. And you're going to know that that's a lie before we're done.

I really, really, really don't like these people.

And I'm toning it down right now because we're in polite company. I told you before that my mouth gets me in trouble.

And before we're done here, you're going to see how that might turn out to be the case. The plaintiffs are going to go through every nasty thing I've said for the last decade and that's why this thing is going to take a month. There's really just that much of it.

And that's partly because I'm a professional entertainer. It's what I do for a living. I'm a very talented and dare I say good-looking host and producer of a live, uncensored, open phones talk show called the Radical Agenda. I made a brief attempt at standup comedy a few years back, I started doing the YouTube thing, and then I was invited to be the cohost of a nationally syndicated broadcast talk radio show called Free Talk Live, which was nationally syndicated on over 160 FCC-regulated stations across this country.

Then my mouth got me in trouble. Some left-wing activist on Twitter, who happened to be black, tweeted at me with some identity politics nonsense about feminism, like that was going to intimidate me. And to make the point that this was not going to work on your humble correspondent, I gave him a three-word answer. And that answer was "shut up, nigger."

That's what I said to him.

And there's a lot more to it. There always is. And we don't need to get into the weeds on this. But depending on how you calculate, you might say that that began the journey that caused you and I to meet. I got banned from Twitter. I got fired from the radio. It made the news, and the publicity got a lot of new people to check out my uncensored, Internet-only entertainment product called the Radical Agenda.

On August 11 of 2017 this was how I made 100 percent of my income. I'm very good at my job, in part because I don't care who I upset as long as I entertain my audience. Though largely based on true stories, the show is marketed as fiction because it prioritizes entertainment value and shock value in particular over accuracy. That is a calculated business decision, as well as a matter of artistic integrity.

And to this you might say isn't it a contradiction of terms to prioritize integrity over accuracy? And to this I would respond absolutely not. Not in art. That's not how art works and I am an artist. In art, anything is possible.

Things like common sense extremism, which is the tag line, the catch phrase of my product, the Radical Agenda. Of course "extreme" and "common" are contradictory terms. It's either or, a binary choice, it's like male or female. It's impossible to be both common and extreme.

So when I start the show and I say it's a show about

common sense extremism where we talk about radical, crazy,
off-the-wall things like yada, yada, that's me having
enough faith in you, the listener, to know that this is a gag.

I'm pretty sure the plaintiffs are going to play for you a clip where I added sort of a disclaimer to the show intro where I say specifically that, quote, "The listener is hereby warned to interpret as fiction anything" --

(Reporter clarification.)

MR. CANTWELL: That's my radio voice and it doesn't work for the reporter. I apologize, ma'am.

The quote was, "The listener is hereby warned to interpret as fiction anything they are not able to verify in a more reliable fashion." That's the quote.

Ma'am, if I -- if I do that again and I just repeat it slowly afterwards, would that work for you? Because I kind of want to get the idea across: There's a theatrical component to it. I don't think I have a whole lot more of that anyway.

I made that decision right after this lunatic Bernie Sanders supporter named James Hodgkinson tried to gun down the Republican Freedom Caucus in Alexandria, Virginia. Some of you might remember that story. It was right before the events at the heart of this dispute.

That thing really bothered me for a number of reasons, not the least of which, it was sort of a crescendo to a lot of the political violence that was going on at the time.

And as I said, it was in close temporal proximity to what we're doing here.

I went to Charlottesville, Virginia in August of 2017 with that terrible event fresh in my mind. The plaintiffs want you to think that I added that disclaimer to my show because I was planning to commit a crime, and this was my way of covering it up or some kind of nonsense like that. But before we're done here, you're going to know that I'm not an idiot, and since you're not either, I don't think you're going to buy it.

I'm going to do my best to make this fun for everybody here. If I can make plaintiffs' counsel laugh at jokes they shouldn't be laughing at, like it's involuntary, I'm going to consider myself very proud of myself. But of course here for our side anyway, accuracy matters more than entertainment in this courtroom. But then again, you've probably noticed that most comedy, most art, most entertainment, even the purest fantasy productions feature monsters, wizards and ghosts, have enough truth in them to make them real to us.

It's not a chore to suspend disbelief because we can relate, whether it's a boy seeking a girl's affection, conflict over scarce resources, or civilizational scale warfare, all of our entertainment products -- books, movies, TV -- all involve a plotline, a plotline with a conflict, a conflict which appeals to our deepest Darwinian survival and reproduction

instincts. And that is what the Radical Agenda is.

If you were paying any attention at all to what was happening between 2014 and 2017, it should come as no surprise at all to you that entertainment products emerged which challenged and capitalized on the prevailing left-wing narratives about race in America. I am the host and producer of one of the most commercially successful such products ever created. And that is why I'm being sued instead of the hundreds of nobodies who came and risked their lives to see me say something in public.

I'm not going to ask you for anything that I wouldn't ask you to give a pornographer or a gangster rapper. You don't have to agree with me or like my artwork. The truth is, you don't even need to believe I'm particularly trustworthy, although I'd like to think I am, and obviously that would be ideal. All you need to do is pay attention to the evidence in this courtroom and do what Judge Moon tells you to do, and I'm going to win this thing, no context. It's not even going to be close.

I am accused of participating in a racially motivated violent criminal conspiracy, not hate speech, which for the time being is still perfectly legal in the United States. And whatever they tell you, they would very much like that to change. I told you I was glad you were smart because I need you to be. The plaintiffs are going to try to trick you. They

will show you racism and they will show you violence and they will say, aha, gotcha, Nazi, racially motivated violence.

But smart jurors are going to notice a couple of things conspicuously absent from that equation, most notably a link between the racism and the violence. A lot of these plaintiffs look as white as me, and for the non-white plaintiffs, with the exception of Mr. Fields's guilty plea, you'll find no connection between the violence and the racism. And I don't think that Mr. Fields's guilty plea is very credible, actually, personally.

The other big glaring hole in this story is the conspiracy. If I show up in Charlottesville to say Nazi things and some nutcase decides to commit a hate crime, I'm not legally responsible for that, unless I enter into an agreement with a co-conspirator to make this happen.

But there's not just holes in the story, there's also a giant elephant in the room. The plaintiffs are like racism, violence, pay no attention to the armed communists who started the fight or you're a racist too. You might have noticed weapons and protective gear and communist symbols in some of the plaintiffs' opening exhibits. So not only am I lucky that you're smart, I'm also exceedingly fortunate that you're not a bunch of sniveling cowards who would betray your civic duty to avoid being called a racist.

Come to think of it, I'm also probably pretty

fortunate that you're not a bunch of closet racists, because this way you don't need to hide your true views by throwing me under the bus.

No matter how the plaintiffs or their parade of partisan Democrat swindlers tries to frame it, this case is about hate speech. The plaintiffs are going to try to shoehorn size 12 hate speech allegations into size 5 conspiracy heels.

They have to do this because the Constitution of the United States gives them no other way to punish the people whose speech they want outlawed.

They tell you they believe in freedom of speech.

You're going to know that's not true before we're done here.

And it should piss you off that they lied.

They've hired some very well-paid people to complicate things, but at base, like I said before, this is pretty simple. Here's racism, here's violence. Blame the racists for the violence and give us lots of money from this guy who can't afford a lawyer. That's a trick and you shouldn't fall for it.

Beyond the fact that you're smart, I don't think you're going to fall for this because there's actually a lot of evidence to the contrary, it turns out. You see, I'm smart too. I don't think you're going to fall for this. I'm sorry. I knew there was going to be Antifa there. And while most of America only heard about them in 2020, me and my associates

have known about them for many years. They hunt us like animals, and they are violent and they are dishonest.

So when I came to Charlottesville, I wore a body camera. You might have noticed it when the plaintiffs showed a picture of me wearing the Radical Agenda T-shirt. You might have seen it clipped to my collar. You're going to see at least two videos from that during this trial.

Let's start with the obvious: Why does the guy who traveled across state lines to commit the crime wear a body camera? Why do his co-conspirators let him do something so reckless?

Conspiracy, the judge told you, involves an unlawful purpose. The plaintiffs tell you that we came here planning to get away with this. Why am I recording video of the whole thing? I'm not a cop. This wasn't a secret. The plaintiffs didn't have to drag this evidence out of me. It wasn't found in a search warrant. I wore the body camera and recorded because I was afraid that somebody might try to hurt me, and I'd have to defend myself, and I wanted to make sure that nobody had to take my word for what happened.

Again, I don't expect you to trust me. That's the whole entire point here. I'd certainly prefer to earn your trust, but one of the things you're probably going to hear me say in several different interviews that gets played here, because I say it all the time, is that I knew long before I

showed up in Virginia that I'm going to be a profoundly unsympathetic defendant in a courtroom if I get charged with a crime.

So I brought the body camera to protect myself. And as you're going to see before we're done here, it's a very fortunate thing that I did. It's also a good thing I saved the videos before I went to University of Virginia on August 11th, because the plaintiffs' co-conspirator, Lindsey Elizabeth Morris, an Antifa criminal from Philadelphia, stole it from me. And I should be able to show you two different angles of that theft, from other cameras that picked it up. More on that later.

The first body camera video takes place in the Walmart parking lot in Charlottesville on August 11, 2017 at something we called the Radical Agenda listeners meet-up. This was the only event of the weekend that I could accurately be described as having organized, and despite the best efforts of Antifa, there was no violence at that event.

One of the ways I used to make money on the Radical Agenda -- there's a premium content subscription service called a paywall. For a monthly fee, users gained access to members-only, exclusive bonus content. Since I was afraid of Antifa, but I wanted to meet my listeners before the event, I disabled news silence on the website and announced the meet-up details behind that paywall so that only existing paying

customers could see it. You couldn't find out I was going to do it and then quick pay the ten bucks, okay? Which tells you something in and of itself: I'm trying to avoid the people who say I'm conspiring to attack them.

You're going to see a lot of that nonsense in this case, and it's obviously ridiculous. The plaintiffs told you in their opening statement that the torch march of the evening of August 11 was supposed to be a secret. Okay. So we had a secret rally that was designed to attack a bunch of innocent students. Really? Is that what they want you to believe? You should be insulted by that.

I've got some printouts from my website to show you how that paywall feature works. It works very well actually. But that didn't stop Mike Longo, Jr. and Paul Minton and some other Antifa criminals from confronting us in the Walmart parking lot. The logical conclusion is that they were paying me money before this went down so that they could spy on me and my listeners. Who is conspiring against who here? Huh?

But it gets worse than that. You're going to see on that video, I pull up to the Walmart, I get out of my car, I wait for my listeners to show up. They quickly do, we all get to shaking hands. We're having a fine time. And then Antifa shows up. They're all white, as usual, but we know it's them just because they've got that kind of scumbag look to them. You know the type.

Now, I was legally carrying a gun at the time, a Glock 19 semi-automatic pistol in my waistband in the small of my back. I tucked my shirt in behind the holster to let these violent criminals know that violence was not going to be a viable option for them that day, but I did not take it out of the holster, point it or threaten them or any of the other lunatic crap that they ran and told the 9-1-1 operator immediately afterwards.

In the video you're going to see the cops show up, and they question us, and they say hey, we got a report of a guy that pulled a gun on someone. And I say to the cops, hey, I got a body camera right here. I did nothing of the sort. So you go ahead, take this. I'm happy to cooperate with you. We got nothing to hide.

But the cops didn't take my camera because the guy who called in the false report didn't want to take credit for making a false report. The complainant didn't show up and the police sent us on our way.

From there it was on to an interview I had scheduled with a reporter from Vice News Tonight on HBO. HBO, the company that gave you The Sopranos and Game of Thrones and stuff. Great entertainment products, let's say. I expect the plaintiffs to play some choice clips from that slickly edited Emmy Award-winning production from the Home Box Office company. If necessary, I've got the full unedited audio of the two

interviews I did with that reporter in two different states because I was concerned that she would take me out of context. So I brought my own pocket audio recorder with me to make a complete unedited recording.

So now, remember what I asked you to think about when I told you that I had a body camera. Ask yourself again: Why is the guy who is plotting to commit a crime hanging out talking to reporters and creating unedited recordings for his own release on his website? Why are his co-conspirators going along with all of this fame whoring? Obviously this is the behavior of an activist and a performance artist, not a criminal conspirator.

But wait. There's more. Like a Billy Mays commercial. After the first Vice News interview, my co-defendant Jason Kessler and someone who is conspicuously not a co-defendant, who called himself Kurt Vandal, invited me to a so-called leadership meeting. You'll have the opportunity to see those messages, I think, but it's just an undisputed fact that I was invited at sort of the last minute.

Which brings us to an important point which you've heard some of my co-defendants say, and I should touch on briefly. I don't really know my co-defendants that well. As a matter of fact, I noticed -- pardon me, Richard. I noticed Richard has like a stuffed animal in his bag there, and it occurred to me, I didn't know that Richard had kids. And if

you think that I'm going to enter into a criminal conspiracy, risk going to prison, for a guy I don't even know if he's got kids or not, you're out of your mind. I wouldn't to that.

That's insane.

I really don't know my co-defendants. I knew them even less on August 11, 2017. I shouldn't speculate too much about what you're going to see regarding that, but you're not going to see the kind of closeness that a conspiracy such as the one alleged by the plaintiffs requires. There's a lot of "nice to meet you" type stuff going on here.

As a matter of fact -- pardon me while I try to piece this all together here. To the best of my knowledge, I have never met Michael Hill and Michael Tubbs. The first time I met James Fields, I was in jail, and so was he. The first time I med Azzmador was at that leadership meeting on August 11. The first time I met Tom Rousseau was at the same meeting. I saw Jeff Schoep, or "scoop," whatever his name is, once before C'ville at an event in Pikeville, Kentucky. I might have shaken his hand. I don't really know if I did, to tell you the truth.

I texted Matt Heimbach in Charlottesville. "This is Cantwell." And it was just before -- I forget if it was August 11 or what it was. I think it was August 11. Because before that day, he wouldn't have recognized my phone number to receive a text from it.

The plaintiffs have told you that the majority of planning was on Discord, but you might have noticed for all their talk about me, they didn't show you any of my Discord messages. That's because they were thoroughly uninteresting.

And I only actually joined the Charlottesville 2.0 Discord, I know it was in the month of August. Let's just say August 1st. It might have been later than that actually. I was not an administrator of the chat server. I was not in any of the leadership channels. I think I posted to it maybe 13 times and I had joined that month.

So not to throw the organizers of the event under the bus or anything, but I just wasn't one of them. It's just a fact of this case. I didn't conspire to hold a legal event, much less commit a crime. I was invited to speak by a defendant by the name of Augustus Invictus, who has defaulted on this suit, who isn't here. So, you know, I don't know what to say about what he did.

But I was only invited to the so-called leadership meeting at the last minute after the location had changed.

Now, leaders make decisions like this, about changing the location of the meeting. They don't get informed of the meeting's existence after the other guys' plan goes to pot.

But I did go to the so-called leadership meeting. And the plaintiffs allege that we conspired at that meeting to commit racially motivated violence. As a matter of fact, they didn't

mention that in their opening statement, which was kind of interesting because they mentioned it in their complaint.

When I was -- when they served us with this lawsuit, they didn't know I had that body camera video. Now, I can tell you that I -- spoiler alert: I have a video of the meeting. I deny that I conspired to commit racially motivated violence anywhere, much less at this meeting. So they say there was a racially motivated violent criminal conspiracy hatched at the meeting. I say there wasn't. And guess what, there's a video of the whole thing.

You will have the chance to judge for yourself who is telling the truth, and more importantly, who is lying to you, based on that video.

Now, you might be asking yourself, how did they get this video? Was it an undercover cop, a snitch, hot mic? No. It's my body camera tape. I wasn't surreptitious. It wasn't accidental. I was afraid that Antifa might try to hurt us, so I was recording for my own protection.

And here we find ourselves facing nearly precisely such a false accusation. It's a good thing I never expected you to take my word for it because we have an objective record of the entire nearly two-hour meeting so you can judge what happened without trusting anybody.

Since the plaintiffs allege a criminal conspiracy, what's most important about this video is what you don't see.

Same thing for the Walmart parking lot video. So when it's my turn to go here, if they let me, which I think they're going to, we're going to watch this whole thing from front to back, from beginning to end, because they say it's a violent criminal conspiracy. Let's see when I get out of my car and when I get back into my car and you tell me when the crime happens.

Now, you will hear a couple of racist jokes. We're sort of notorious for these things. You'll hear us talking about pepper spray, firearms, armor. You'll even hear some brief mention of running people down with a vehicle and getting in a gun fight. But what you won't hear is a conspiracy to commit any crime, much less a violent one.

You'll also hear me tell Jason Kessler, quote, "If we're going to do it at all, I want the cops involved," end quote. You'll hear Jason agree, and Defendant Kline, who has abandoned this litigation, but is on the video, he tells us that the police are indeed on board. Well, I guess this conspiracy goes pretty deep, huh? The cops are in on it now?

So after a long day of being conspired against but conspiring against nobody, I go back to my hotel room without my co-defendants, and the next time I see them is at the University of Virginia. I should be kind of careful about what I say here because I don't actually know how much I'm going to be able to get into evidence, but I am sure -- you've already seen it. They played it in their opening. You see a picture

of me pepper spraying a guy. And the plaintiffs are going to say aha, gotcha, Nazi. There's our hate crime. But if you paid any attention, you might have noticed that the guy I pepper sprayed -- pretty white for a hate crime. So I'm lucky that you're smart. Smart jurors ask questions like: Hey, I thought that guy Chris was all right. Why did he pepper-spray that guy? And then you're going to be able to look at the video. And you're going to be like: Wait a second. That guy was fighting before Chris pepper-sprayed him. Aha. I knew Chris wouldn't pepper-spray a guy for no reason.

And you're going to notice, as I said: Hey, wait a second. If this is some kind of racist conspiracy, why isn't Chris pepper-spraying those black guys? And the simple answer to that is: They weren't the threat. They weren't fighting.

A fight broke out. I wasn't happy about it. I did what I thought I had to do. All the people fighting turned out to be white. If the blacks fought, I'd have fought the blacks. I'm an equal opportunity guy. I had nothing against those white people for being white.

Now, you can imagine the body camera video of this was pretty intense. But, unfortunately, I don't have it. The camera got stolen during the fighting by Lindsey Elizabeth Moore, who is a Philly Antifa. You're going to see two different angles of video that this happened. And then I get pepper-sprayed by the same guy you saw me pepper-spray in the

intiffs! opening statement And then I'm out of the fight

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 10/28/2021

plaintiffs' opening statement. And then I'm out of the fight
and it's basically -- it's basically over.

Now, that fight was pretty busy: A lot of people, a lot of different angles of video, a lot of action. I'm going to try to show you as much of it as I can. Some of it is pretty amazing, but I still don't totally get the rules of evidence, so I'm not going to make a bunch of promises right now, in case I can't keep them.

I can tell you what you're definitely not going to see. You're not going to see me pepper-spray a Jewish man named Christopher Goad or a transgender Asian calling himself Emily Gorcenski.

The plaintiffs are going to tell you that I pleaded guilty to two counts of misdemeanor assault and battery, one on each of these two names. And it's true I pleaded guilty to those two charges. But that does not prove the plaintiffs' claims. They just told Mr. Spencer it doesn't matter that he wasn't charged. It doesn't matter that I was. As a matter of fact, I sued Goad and Gorcenski for malicious prosecution, and to avoid liability for filing a false report, Goad and Gorcenski signed a mutual release of all claims with me, so they are not parties to this suit. Neither is the guy that I pepper-sprayed, by the way. They are not parties to this suit. They never were. I can't sue them and they can't sue me. That's the terms of our agreement.

What I actually pleaded guilty to wasn't actually even an attack on either of these individuals. They changed their stories a few times. But ultimately, they say that they were affected by my overspraying when I pepper-sprayed the guy you saw in the picture during plaintiffs' opening statements, the guy we talked about before. An accident, in other words. That's what I pleaded guilty to.

Now, I could have gone to trial, but I was facing

40 years in prison if convicted. And I was offered a plea
agreement: Plead guilty to the misdemeanors and go home right
now, or go to trial and risk it all. Ladies and gentlemen, I
am no coward. But I am not stupid, either. And with all due
respect to the process we're in the middle of, I don't trust
the system that much. So if you tell me to choose between a

100 percent chance of going home right now with my Second
Amendment intact or the possibility, no matter how slim, that I
do 40 years in prison, I'm going home. And anybody that don't
like it can kiss my ass. You'd do it, too.

Now, the only reason I'm telling you this is because it's true. My conviction is not evidence of a racially motivated violent conspiracy, and it wouldn't be even if it was for something I actually did. So that's August 11th in a nutshell. Long day, let me tell you. Hell of a thing. The main event was scheduled for August 12th. What a weekend.

Now, the thing about August 12th is I actually don't

know a whole lot about August 12, because I got pepper-sprayed by Mike Longo, Jr. first thing in the morning, same guy who confronted me at the Wal-Mart parking lot, same guy you'll see in video on August 11th at UVA. First thing in the morning, I get pepper-sprayed as I'm literally walking to the park. I'll tell you what: That kind of cramped my style a little bit. Twice in as many days.

So after I got out of that park and my eyesight recovered, I went back to my hotel room and found out about the car wreck the same way most of you did. Sad thing, let me tell you. Girl dead. Bunch of people hurt. Innocent man spends the rest of his life in prison. That was not nice. It's enough to make a man cry, matter of fact. Made me cry. The whole thing, not the wreck itself. The media gave me a nickname. There's a video of me that became rather famous, of me in tears. They called me the Crying Nazi. Literally adding insult to injury. Fucking vultures.

So what I've told you here is what I can prove, at least this much, to you. I have higher ambitions for this trial. The easiest thing for me to do is come in here and say I didn't do what they're accusing me of. There's just no evidence. I don't have to do anything. I don't have to go through this spiel. I don't have to show you what happened. I can sit in that chair and I can ask people: Did I hurt you? Did I conspire with you? And everybody is going to say no,

because there's no evidence I conspired with anybody.

But what happened that day was important. And what's happening here is important, too.

My website is christophercantwell.net. When this is over, I hope you all become die-hard fans and together we can try to save the country. But for now, just try to find -- real hard, try to find the part where I enter into an agreement with a co-conspirator to commit a crime. These guys are going to waste a month of your life on that goal, and they're going to fail, because it's not true, and they know it's not. And that should piss you off almost as much as it pisses me off, even if you share their ideological viewpoint. And I say that with all sincerity, because as much as I don't want our politics going any further left, I genuinely appreciate diversity of opinion and lively debate.

Did I -- oh, that mic. Sorry.

A lively debate -- I should skip that paragraph after -- there are changes this country is going through. Anybody who refuses to engage in an honest debate is going to be left out of the conversation. If you don't want me and my associates ruling this country unopposed, you need to send a very clear message to the violent Communists and the corrupt elites that caused us to meet today.

Calling somebody a racist is not an excuse to use violence. If you want to avoid fascism in America, you'd do

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 10/28/2021 well not to censor and disarm your populace.

Calling somebody a racist is not an excuse to abuse the legal system. And it's certainly no excuse to steal a month from decent people like you.

Thank you very much for indulging me. My name is

Christopher Cantwell, and I'm looking very much forward to your

verdict, unanimous or otherwise. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Campbell?

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is
Dave Campbell, and I represent James Fields in this lawsuit.

I'm not here to defend hate. I'm not here to defend white supremacy. I'm here to defend James Fields to the extent that is possible.

In that regard, I'm not going to attempt to get you to believe that Mr. Fields did not intentionally drive his vehicle into a crowd of people, as you've seen in the video multiple times, and will see many more times, I'm sure.

Similarly, I'm not going to try to make you believe that Mr. Fields did not attend Unite the Right, that he did not march with members of Vanguard America, or that he was not given a shield of that same organization. At the end of this case, primarily, I will be asking you to be fair.